United States I	DISTRICT COURT
Southern Distri	CT OF NEW YORK
DAARON CRAWFO	RD.
A THIRD IS CHILL	
Write the full name of each plaintiff or petitioner.	Case No. 1 9 CV 00 105
-against-	
LS Security ASSOC	Of esnotice of motion
Write the full name of each defendant or respondent.	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that	DAARON CRAWFOR
plaintiff or defend	
requests that the Court: AHW S	DNY. I am reques
net you contact Le age and into from	aged. com to remove
ase and into from	the internet the
e event I go book	public any longues on to
Briefly describe what you want the court to do. You should the statute under which you are making the motion, if you	know.
In support of this motion, I submit the following	ng documents (check all that apply):
☐ a memorandum of law	
$\square$ my own declaration, affirmation, or affiday	
☐ the following additional documents:	
1/23/2000	
Dated /	Signature

Prison Identification # (if incarcerated)

Telephone Number (if available)

E-mail Address (if available)

SDNY Rev: 5/24/2016

Zip Code

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STAPRON CRAWI	-ORD
Fill in above the full name of each plaintiff or petitio	ner.  Case No CV 00 [ 05
Fill in above the full name of each defendant or respondent.	
DECLA	RATION
Briefly explain above the purpose of the declarat Motion for Summary Judgment."	ion, for example, "in Opposition to Defendant's
	declare under penalty of perjury that the
following facts are true and correct:  In the space below, describe any facts that are re order. You may also refer to and attach any relev	

## Case 1:19-ev-00105-PGG-RWL Decument 24 Filed 07/29/20 Page 3 of 3

## **MEMO ENDORSED:**

The application is denied. There is a strong presumption in favor of public access to judicial documents. See In re Orion Pictures Corp., 21 F.3d 24, 26 (2d Cir. 1994) ("[C]ourts have recognized a strong presumption of public access to court records. This preference for public access is rooted in the public's first amendment right to know about the administration of justice."); see also Hartford Courant Co. v. Pellegrino, 380 F.3d 83 (2d Cir. 2004) (noting that the "press and public possess a qualified First Amendment right of access to docket sheets"). Moreover, the entity that controls Leagle.com is not a party to this lawsuit and it would therefore be inappropriate for this Court to direct that entity to remove information from its website. See, e.g., United States v. Regan, 858 F.2d 115, 120 (2d Cir. 1988) ("[A] court generally may not issue an order against a nonparty."); Deem v. DiMella-Deem, No. 18-CV-11889 (KMK), 2019 WL 1958107, at \*10 (S.D.N.Y. May 2, 2019), aff'd, 2020 WL 1813550 (2d Cir. Apr. 9, 2020) ("[C]ourts generally not order injunctive relief against non-parties. . . ."). The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motion and slose the case. Copy mailed by chambers.

SO ORDERED.	Se the case. Copy ma	niled by chambers.		
O CRDERED.	11/	· ·		
Tauls sardeste-				
Dated: July 29, 2020				
			:	
And the second s				
AND				
MA				
			;	
Attach additional pages and do	cuments if necessary.			
		05/2	2	
Executed on (date)	si Fano	gnature		
HA13 ON UK HY	10KD	ison Identification # (if incare	corated):	
	# of Now		/ 0027	
V. 12310 St.	at 4 I VOW			
V. 123VOCST. Address	Story City	State	Zip Code	